# Target enrichment and next generation sequencing as tools to facilitate cloning of R genes from Solanum species

<u>Kamil Witek<sup>1</sup></u>, Jadwiga Śliwka<sup>2</sup>, Walter Verweij<sup>1</sup>, Florian Jupe<sup>3</sup>, Henryka Jakuczun<sup>2</sup>, Ingo Hein<sup>3</sup>, Ewa Zimnoch-Guzowska<sup>2</sup> and Jonathan D. G. Jones<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup> The Sainsbury Laboratory, Norwich Research Park, Norwich NR4 7UH, UK; kamil.witek@tsl.ac.uk, <sup>2</sup> Plant Breeding and Acclimatization Institute, Research Centre Młochów, Platanowa 19, 05-831 Młochów, Poland, <sup>3</sup> The James Hutton Institute, Invergowrie, Dundee DD2 5DA, Scotland UK



data are analysed using various bioinformatic tools. Predicted SNP/InDels are confirmed by Sanger sequencing and fine-mapped using segregating populations.







## Fig 1. Enrichment efficiency control.

8000

Exemplary qPCR with oligos for R3a gene on enriched and non-enriched samples. For different samples and various R genes, the difference is between 8-11 cycles, what indicates 250-2000-fold enrichment.



# Fig 2. Average read depth per

Fig 3. *De novo* assembly of NBS-LRR gene from chromosome XI using BS reads. Preselected reads for each chromosome are assembled using Velvet with various settings to optimise

assembly for N50 and/or number of contigs >1kb. As shown on panel A, even with the best assemblies (with high coverage over whole gene) usually it is not possible to reconstruct gene, probably due to high similarity of R genes and high complexity of their loci (an example here is a putative NBS-LRR gene localised on Ch XI). Panel B shows statistics for assembly of all *R* genes on chromosome XI using Velvet.

The Gatsby Charitable Foundation

### Summary:

Funding:

50%

50%

100%

- target enrichment against potato NB-LRRome is very efficient • bioinformatic analysis of obtained data is still a challenge • although it is not possible to assembly whole *R* gene, shorter assemblies are mostly correct (around 85%, confirmed by Sanger sequencing)
- using presented approach we were able to find markers within *Rpi* genes linked to resistance less than 0.1 cM.

B	
Min contig length:	137
Max contig length:	3.304
Mean contig length:	461
N50 contig length:	642
Number of contigs:	166
Number of contigs >=1kb:	16
Number of contigs in N50:	33
Number of predicted	
NB-LRRs on Ch XI:	56

atgatcggtactgattgcatgacaagtca catgacaagtcagtattaggatcatgctagtaatag gtactgattgcatgacaagttagtattaggatcatgcta atgatcggtactgattgcatgcaagttagtattaggatcatgctagta taggtactgattgcatgcaagttagtattaggatca tgcatgccaagtcagtattagcatcatgctagt

reference atgatcggtactgattgcatgcaagtcagtattaggatcatgctagtaatag

ttgcatgccaagtcagtattaggatcatgctagtaatag atgataggtactgattgcatgcaagtcagtattaggat

taggtactgattgcatgccaagttagtattaggatcatgctagt gataggtactgattgcatgccaagttagtattaggatcat atgatcggtactgattgcatgcaagtcagtattaggatcatgct tgcatgccaagtcagtattagcatcatgctagtaat

## Fig 4. SNP prediction with new reference.

BS assembly is used as reference for SNP prediction with SAM tools (pileup). Both BR and BS reads are aligned and polymorphism exclusive for BR is detected and later confirmed by Sanger seq. SNP calling remains the biggest challenge, as many predicted SNPs are false positive.



BS